

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/766,362	09/766,362 01/19/2001		Solomon S. Steiner	PDC 119	8907	
23579	7590	12/10/2003		EXAMINER		
PATREA	L. PABS	T	SHEIKH, HUMERA N			
HOLLAND			ADT IDUT	DARED VIII (DEB		
SUITE 200	0, ONE A	TLANTIC CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1201 WES	Г РЕАСН	TREE STREET, N.E.	1615 DATE MAIL ED: 12/10/2003			
ATLANTA	, GA 30	309-3400				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-								
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	_	09/766,362		STEINER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Humera N.		1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-12 and 14-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-12 and 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers								
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Receipt of the Amendment filed 08/21/03 is acknowledged.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The following are the new grounds of rejection.

Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 14-18 are pending. Claims 1, 7 and 14 have been amended as requested. Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 14-18 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-11 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Illum (US Pat. No. 5,690,954).

Illum discloses a drug delivery system for nasal administration of an active drug in dry powder form wherein the drug delivery system comprises

Art Unit: 1615

microsphere particles formed of an active drug (i.e., antihistamines, vasoconstrictors, anti-inflammatory agents, anesthetics) and synthetic polymers comprising polyethylene glycol and polyvinyl acetate (polyvinyl esters) whereby the composition is administered in the form of a dry powder having a particle size of from about 10 microns to about 100 microns (see reference column 5, line 14 through col. 6, line 53); (col. 9, lines 24-61).

The particles are administered in the form of a powder by spraying and have bioadhesive properties. The microspheres are of a size between 10 and 100 microns and prepared from a biocompatible material. Illum teaches that the drug to be administered to a mucosal surface such as the nose, eye, etc., can be administered as a powder and can also be administered in the form of a colloidal particle comprising a microsphere system. The advantage of using bioadhesive microsphere systems for administration to the mucosal surface is that such systems allow a longer period of contact, especially if the microspheres are slowly degrading. This is particularly true for the nasal administration of drugs contained in microspheres produced from natural materials such as albumin, gelatin and starch (col. 5, line 14-26).

Suitable active drugs disclosed are anti-inflammatory agents, vasoconstrictors, anesthetics (analgesics) and antihistaminic agents, such as diphenhydramine hydrochloride, chloropheniramine maleate and clemastine. The microspheres are administered via the nasal route using a nasal insufflator device. Examples of these are already employed for commercial powder

Art Unit: 1615

systems intended for nasal application (e.g., Fisons Lomudal System); (col. 8, line 44 through col. 9, line 60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steiner *et al.* (US Pat. No. 5,503,852).

Steiner et al. teach drug delivery systems based on the formation of diketopiperazine microparticles and microencapsulation of drugs by derivatives of diketopiperazine, wherein the microparticles are formed in the presence of the

Art Unit: 1615

drug to be delivered and are between 0.1 to 10 microns in diameter and whereby the microparticles are used for diagnostic applications for imaging of the nasal tract (see reference col. 4, lines 30-55); (col. 10, lines 25-49); (col. 13, lines 13-24) and abstract.

According to Steiner, biologically active agents having therapeutic, prophylactic or diagnostic activities can be delivered and include active agents, such as hormones, vasoactive agents, anesthetics or sedatives, steroids, decongestants, antivirals, antisense, antigens, antibodies and the like (col. 10, lines 25-49).

The protective material, the diketopiperazines, are not biologically active and do not alter the pharmacologic properties of the therapeutic agents (col. 11, lines 1-3).

The instant invention is drawn to a composition for the nasal administration of a drug in dry powder form for administration to the nasal region, whereby the dry powder comprises microparticles having an average particle size of between 10 and 20 microns and consisting essentially of the drug and an excipient selected from diketopiperazines and synthetic polymers. There is no significant distinction observed between the instant invention and the prior art since the prior art teaches drug delivery systems based on the formation of diketopiperazine microparticles and microencapsulation of drugs by derivatives of diketopiperazine, wherein the microparticles are between 0.1 to 10 microns in diameter and are used for nasal applications. Hence, the instant invention is rendered unpatentable over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 1615

Page 6

Prior Art made of record and deemed relevant by Examiner:

US Patent No. 6,136,835 Camden

10/2000

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number

is (703) 308-4429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Friday from 7:00A.M. to 4:30P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-1235.

hns

December 04, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT, EXAMINER
JECHNOLOGY CONTER 1500